Policy Number	SUR701.032
Policy Effective Date	01/01/2025

Cryosurgical Ablation of Primary or Metastatic Liver Tumors

Related Policies (if applicable)
None

Disclaimer

Carefully check state regulations and/or the member contract.
Each benefit plan, summary plan description or contract defines which services are covered, which services are excluded, and which services are subject to dollar caps or other limitations, conditions or exclusions. Members and their providers have the responsibility for consulting the member's benefit plan, summary plan description or contract to determine if there are any exclusions or other benefit limitations applicable to this service or supply. **If there is a discrepancy between a Medical Policy and a member's benefit plan, summary plan description or contract, the benefit plan, summary plan description or contract will govern.**

Coverage

Cryosurgical ablation of either primary or metastatic tumors in the liver **is considered experimental, investigational, and/or unproven.**

Policy Guidelines

None.

Description

Liver Metastases
Hepatic tumors can be due to primary liver cancer or metastases to the liver from nonhepatic primary tumors. Primary liver cancer can arise from hepatocellular tissue (hepatocellular carcinoma [HCC]) or intrahepatic biliary ducts (cholangiocarcinoma). Multiple tumors metastasize to the liver, but there is particular interest in the treatment of hepatic metastases from colorectal cancer (CRC) given the propensity of CRC to metastasize to the liver and its high prevalence. Liver metastases from neuroendocrine tumors present a unique clinical situation.

Neuroendocrine cells produce and secrete a variety of regulatory hormones (or neuropeptides), which include neurotransmitters and growth factors. Overproduction of the specific neuropeptides by cancerous cells causes various symptoms, depending on the hormone produced. In the U.S, the incidence rates of liver cancer are estimated to continually increase through 2030. (1) Some racial groups are more affected by liver cancer than others due to differences in the prevalence of risk factors and disparities in access to quality care; the mortality rate for African Americans with HCC is higher than other racial groups in the U.S.

Treatment
Surgical resection with tumor-free margins and liver transplantation are the primary treatments available that have curative potential. Many hepatic tumors are unresectable at diagnosis, due either to their anatomic location, size, the number of lesions, or underlying liver reserve. Local therapy for hepatic metastasis is indicated only when there is no extrahepatic disease, which rarely occurs for patients with primary cancers other than CRC or certain neuroendocrine malignancies. For liver metastases from CRC, postsurgical adjuvant chemotherapy has been reported to decrease recurrence rates and prolong the time to recurrence. Combined systemic and hepatic arterial chemotherapy may increase disease-free intervals for patients with hepatic metastases from CRC but apparently is not beneficial for those with unresectable hepatocellular carcinoma.

Various locoregional therapies for unresectable liver tumors have been evaluated including: cryosurgical ablation (cryosurgery); radiofrequency ablation; laser ablation; transhepatic arterial embolization, chemoembolization, or radioembolization with yttrium-90 microspheres; microwave coagulation; and percutaneous ethanol injection. Cryosurgical ablation occurs in tissue that has been frozen by at least 3 mechanisms: 1) formation of ice crystals within cells, thereby disrupting membranes and interrupting cellular metabolism among other processes; 2) coagulation of blood, thereby interrupting blood flow to the tissue, in turn causing ischemia and apoptosis; and 3) induction of apoptosis.

Some have reported on experience with cryosurgical and other ablative methods used in combination with subtotal resection and/or procedures such as transarterial chemoembolization.

Procedure Related Complications
Cryosurgery is not a benign procedure. Treatment-related deaths occur in approximately 2% of study populations and are most often caused by cryoshock, liver failure, hemorrhage, pneumonia/sepsis, and acute myocardial infarction. Clinically significant nonfatal complication rates in the reviewed studies ranged from 0% to 83% and were generally due to the same causes as treatment-related deaths. The likelihood of complications arising from cryosurgery might be predicted, in part, by the extent of the procedure, (2) but much of the treatment-related morbidity and mortality reflect the generally poor health status of patients with advanced hepatic disease.

Regulatory Status

Several cryosurgical devices have been cleared for marketing by the U.S. Food and Drug Administration (FDA) through the 510(k) process. Use includes general surgery, urology, gynecology, oncology, neurology, dermatology, ENT [ears, nose, throat], proctology, pulmonary surgery, and thoracic surgery. The system is designed to freeze/ablate tissue by the application of extreme cold temperatures.

FDA product code: GEH.

Rationale

Medical policies assess the clinical evidence to determine whether the use of technology improves the net health outcome. Broadly defined, health outcomes are the length of life, quality of life, and ability to function-including benefits and harms. Every clinical condition has specific outcomes that are important to patients and managing the course of that condition. Validated outcome measures are necessary to ascertain whether a condition improves or worsens; and whether the magnitude of that change is clinically significant. The net health outcome is a balance of benefits and harms.

To assess whether the evidence is sufficient to draw conclusions about the net health outcome of technology, 2 domains are examined: the relevance and the quality and credibility. To be relevant, studies must represent one or more intended clinical use of the technology in the intended population and compare an effective and appropriate alternative at a comparable intensity. For some conditions, the alternative will be supportive care or surveillance. The quality and credibility of the evidence depend on study design and conduct, minimizing bias and confounding that can generate incorrect findings. The randomized controlled trial (RCT) is preferred to assess efficacy; however, in some circumstances, nonrandomized studies may be adequate. RCTs are rarely large enough or long enough to capture less common adverse events and long-term effects. Other types of studies can be used for these purposes and to assess generalizability to broader clinical populations and settings of clinical practice.

Hepatocellular Carcinoma
Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose
The purpose of cryosurgical ablation (CSA) in individuals who have unresectable primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) is to provide a treatment option that is an alternative to or an improvement on existing therapies.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this policy.

Populations
The relevant population of interest is individuals with unresectable primary HCC amenable to locoregional therapy.

Interventions

The therapy being considered is CSA.

Comparators
The following therapies are currently being used: radiofrequency ablation (RFA), microwave tumor ablation, and locoregional ablation other than RFA.

Outcomes
The general outcomes of interest are disease-free and overall survival (OS). Other outcomes include recurrence rates, symptom reductions, and treatment-related adverse events. Estimates for disease-related mortality can range from 3 to 6 months, and sometimes longer.

Study Selection Criteria
Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:
- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs.
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Systematic Reviews
A network meta-analysis by Kim et al. (2023) compared the benefits and harms of locoregional treatments for hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) in patients who had early HCCs of 4 cm or less with no extrahepatic spread of portal invasion. (3) Databases (PubMed, Embase, Cochrane Library, CINAHL, and Web of Science) were searched from January 1, 2000 to February 17, 2023. A total of 19 trials comparing 11 different treatment strategies in 2793 patients were pooled in this review; outcomes of interest included overall survival (OS), progression-free survival (PFS) and local PFS. The interventions assessed by the authors included: radiofrequency ablation (RFA; n=1124), cryoablation (CSA; n=180), laser ablation (LA; n=70), microwave ablation (MWA; n=276), percutaneous acetic acid injection (PAI; n=159), proton beam therapy (PBT; n=72), percutaneous ethanol injection (PEI; n=585), trans-arterial chemoembolization (TACE; n=84), TACE+MWA (n=89), TACE+PEI (n=39), and TACE+RFA (n=115). Risk of bias assessment was performed using the revised Cochrane Risk of Bias (ROB) tool for randomized controlled trials. Only a single trial, discussed below by Wang et al. (2015), was included for the CSA group. A summary of the pooled OS, PFS, and local PFS are presented in Table 1 along with the pairwise comparisons of cryoablation to alternative interventions for HCC. Cryoablation had similar OS, PFS, and local PFS to the reference group of RFA. Indirect pairwise comparisons of cryoablation to other treatments showed the superiority of CSA to PAI for OS and superiority over PAI and PEI for PFS; all other indirect comparisons to CSA were not significantly different.

A meta-analysis by Keshavarz et al. (2022) compared the efficacy of TACE, TACE+RFA, TACE+MWA, and TACE+CSA in patients with HCC. (4) Databases (Scopus, Web of Science, PubMed, Embase, Chinese National Knowledge Infrastructure, Google Scholar, and Cochrane Library) were searched from January 1, 2010 to August 29, 2021. A total of 42 studies (N=5468) were included in this analysis with 21 studies identified for TACE+RFA (n=3398), 14 studies for TACE+MWA (n=1477), and 7 studies for TACE+CSA (n=593). OS at 1-year follow-up for TACE+CSA compared to TACE had odds ratios (OR) of 2.96 (95% CI 1.95 to 4.48, p<.001) with low heterogeneity across 6 pooled studies (I^2=0.0%). At 3 years follow-up OS compared to TACE remained superior with an OR of 3.33 (95% CI, 1.15 to 9.64; p=.026); however, this included only a single study. Tumor response rates compared to TACE found a significantly higher number of complete responders (OR, 4.18; 95% CI, 2.62 to 6.67) and a significantly lower rate of progressive disease (OR, 0.25; 95% CI, 0.13 to 0.46) with low levels of heterogeneity. The objective response rate and disease control rate also favored the combined TACE+CSA group over TACE with ORs of 3.61 (95% CI, 1.85 to 7.05; p<.001) and 4.05 (95% CI, 1.68 to 9.74; p=.002); these comparisons had moderate heterogeneity between studies.

Table 1. Summary of Meta-Analyses Outcomes in Kim et al. (2023)

Intervention	OS, HR (95% CI; p-value vs RFA)	PFS, HR (95% CI; p-value vs RFA)	Local PFS, HR (95% CI; p-value vs RFA)	OS Pair-wise Comparison to CSA, HR (95% CI)	PFS Pair-wise Comparison to CSA, HR (95% CI)
TACE+RFA	0.52 (0.33 to 0.82; p=.951)	0.61 (0.42 to 0.88; p=.964)	0.63 (0.25 to 1.59; p=.786)	0.62 (0.29 to 1.32)	0.70 (0.43 to 1.11)
TACE+MWA	0.69 (0.25 to 1.93; p=.797)	NA	NA	0.82 (0.25 to 2.70)	NA
PBT	1.07 (0.58 to 1.98; p=.561)	0.99 (0.70 to 1.41; p=.575)	0.73 (0.39 to 1.37; p=.736)	0.78 (0.33 to 1.87)	0.89 (0.56 to 1.40)
MWA	1.25 (0.78 to 2.01; p=.441)	1.06 (0.71 to 1.57; p=.508)	1.39 (0.85 to 2.27; p=.334)	0.67 (0.31 to 1.45)	0.83 (0.51 to 1.36)
LAa	1.34 (0.73 to 2.46; p=.384)	NA	0.86 (0.43 to 1.74; p=.632)	0.63 (0.27 to 1.48)	NA
TACE+PEI	1.46 (0.62 to 3.41; p=.342)	1.12 (0.42 to 2.97; p=.505)	NA	0.58 (0.20 to 1.65)	0.78 (0.28 to 2.17)
PEI	1.51 (1.16 to 1.96; p=.281)	1.88 (1.41 to 2.5; p=.148)	2.71 (1.66 to 4.41; p=.064)	0.56 (0.29 to 1.09)	0.47 (0.31 to 0.70)
TACE	1.53 (0.74 to 3.16; p=.279)	NA	NA	0.55 (0.21 to 1.42)	NA
PAI	1.99 (1.30 to 3.06; p=.091)	3.85 (1.25 to 11.79; p=0.03)	2.54 (1.4 to 4.59; p=.098)	0.42 (0.2 to 0.89)	0.23 (0.07 to 0.73)
CSA	0.84 (0.46 to 1.55; p=.728)	0.88 (0.65 to 1.18; p=.717)	0.57 (0.19 to 1.67; p=.817)	Ref	Ref

| RFA | Ref | Ref | Ref | 0.84 (0.46 to 1.55) | 0.88 (0.65 to 1.18) |

CI: confidence interval; CSA: cryosurgical ablation; HR: hazard ratio; LA: laser ablation; MWA: microwave ablation; NA: not applicable; OS: overall survival; PAI: percutaneous acetic acid injection; PBT: proton beam therapy; PEI: percutaneous ethanol injection; PFS: progression free survival; Ref: reference group for comparison; RFA: radiofrequency ablation; TACE: transarterial chemoembolization

Randomized Controlled Trials
Wang et al. (2015) reported an RCT comparing cryoablation with RFA in 360 patients with HCC. (5) One hundred eighty treatment-naive patients with Child-Pugh class A or B cirrhosis and 1 or 2 HCC lesions 4 cm or less and without metastasis were randomized to each treatment group. Of the 360 patients enrolled, 310 patients were ineligible for surgical resection due to significant portal hypertension. The median follow-up for the cryoablation group was 25 months (range, 8 to 64 months) and 25 months (range, 5 to 65 months) for the RFA group (p=.767). At 1, 2, and 3 years, local tumor progression rates were 3%, 7%, and 7% for cryoablation and 9%, 11%, and 11% for RFA, respectively (p=.043). Overall survival rates at 1, 3, and 5 years for cryoablation were 97%, 67%, and 40%, and 97%, 66%, and 38% for RFA, respectively (p=.747). Tumor-free survival rates at 1, 3, and 5 years were 89%, 54%, and 35% in the cryoablation group and 84%, 50%, and 34% in the RFA group, respectively (p=.628). Major complications were experienced in 7 (3.9%) patients following cryoablation and in 6 (3.3%) patients following RFA (p=.776).

Overall, trial strengths included its randomized design, a well-characterized patient population with few dropouts, intention-to-treat analysis, and evaluation of clinical outcomes. However, there did not appear to be an accounting of the disposition of all patients approached for enrollment. Additionally, there was a suboptimal randomization scheme, lack of allocation concealment, and some evidence for noncomparability of groups at baseline. The lack of any local tumor progression after approximately 14 months (extrapolated from the graph) in either group seems unusual.

Nonrandomized Comparative Studies
Wang et al. (2022) retrospectively compared the efficacy and safety of transcatheter arterial chemoembolization (TACE) combined with either microwave ablation (n=41) or with cryoablation in patients with HCC (n=40). (6) There was no statistically significant difference in primary outcomes between the 2 groups. The median OS for the microwave ablation group was 19.2 months compared to 18.6 months in the cryoablation group (p=.64); the median PFS was 9.3 months for the microwave ablation group and 12.3 months for the cryoablation group (p=.6). There was a significant difference regarding rates of surgery-related complications and adverse reactions. Gastrointestinal reactions and abdominal pain were observed in 26.8% and 31.7% of patients in the microwave ablation group, respectively, while 5.0% and 10.0% of patients in the cryoablation group experienced these reactions, respectively (p<.05).

Luo et al. (2022) reported on a prospective multicenter study in elderly patients with HCC undergoing cryoablation (n=112) or RFA (n=111). (7) Patients in both groups had similar local

tumor progression at 1, 3, and 5 years after treatment (p=.735). For lesions that were larger than 3cm in diameter, the local tumor progression rates at 1 and 3 years were 13% and 22% in the cryoablation group and 22% and 42% in the RFA group, respectively (p=.039). Secondary endpoints of OS and tumor-free survival at 1, 3, and 5 years after treatment were similar for both groups.

Chen et al. (2021) performed a retrospective analysis of data from the Surveillance, Epidemiology, and End Results database on patients with single HCC who underwent cryoablation (n=104) compared with patients who underwent RFA (n=3510). (8) After propensity score matching, median OS and cancer-specific survival were not significantly different between cryotherapy and RFA (32 vs 33 months, p=.724; and 34 vs 36 months, p=.651; respectively). Results were consistent in subgroup analyses based on tumor size and American Joint Committee on Cancer stage.

Cha et al. (2020) performed a retrospective analysis of patients with perivascular HCC who underwent cryoablation (n=61) with patients who underwent RFA (n=50) at a hospital in Korea. (9) After propensity score matching, the primary outcome, the cumulative incidence of local tumor progression was not significantly different between cryoablation and RFA at 3 years (8.7% and 26.1%; p=.379). Treatment modality was not predictive of local tumor progression in univariable or multivariable analyses. Secondary outcomes of vascular thrombosis and hepatic infarction were not significantly more frequent with RFA (16.0% vs 9.8%, p=.493; and 12.0% vs 3.3%, p=.137, respectively).

Ko et al. (2020) reported on procedure-related complications identified in a retrospective analysis of patients with HCC undergoing RFA (n=31) or cryoablation (n=25). (10) Compared with cryoablation, RFA was associated with a significantly higher incidence of biliary complications (67.7% vs 28%; p=.007) and significantly higher severity of complications (p=.002). In multivariable analysis, RFA was associated with greater odds of biliary complications (odds ratio, 4.66; 95% confidence interval [CI], 1.38 to 15.73).

Wei et al. (2020) retrospectively compared the efficacy and safety of TACE combined with either microwave ablation (n=48) or with cryoablation in patients with HCC (n=60). (11) After propensity score matching, microwave ablation and cryoablation did not significantly differ in median OS (20.9 vs 13.5 months, respectively; p=.096) or time to progression (8.8 vs 8.6 months, respectively; p=.675). Ablation-related complications were less frequent with microwave ablation (66.7% vs 88.3%; p=.006).

Ei et al. (2015) reported on outcomes for consecutive patients with primary HCC treated with cryotherapy (n=55) or RFA or microwave coagulation therapy (n=64) using prospectively collected data. (12) The choice of locally ablative therapy was made by a multidisciplinary team based on the following criteria: cryoablation for tumors near major hepatic veins, hepatic hilum, secondary branches of the portal pedicles, or other organs; RFA or microwave coagulation therapy for tumors of 1 cm or less; and patient preference. Groups were similar at baseline, with the exception that patients treated with cryotherapy had a larger median tumor

size (2.5 cm vs 1.9 cm, p<.001). Rates of short-term complications did not differ significantly between groups. Over a median follow-up of 25 months, local recurrence-free survival was not significantly higher in the cryoablation group (80% vs 68%, p=.20). In a multivariable model to predict local recurrence, receiving cryoablation was significantly associated with reduced risk of recurrence (adjusted hazard ratio [HR], 0.3; 95% CI, 0.1 to 0.9; p=.02). For tumors greater than 2 cm in diameter, the 2-year local recurrence rate was lower for patients treated with cryoablation (21% vs 56%; p=.006).

In a smaller, retrospective comparative study including 42 patients with HCC and cirrhosis, Dunne et al. (2014) reported on short-term safety outcomes after cryoablation or RFA. (13) Twenty-five patients underwent 33 cryoablation procedures, and 22 patients underwent 30 RFA procedures; 5 patients underwent both cryoablation and RFA procedures. No significant differences were observed in the overall complication rates, complication rates by severity, or specific complication types by cryoablation and RFA groups.

Noncomparative Studies
Noncomparative studies and systematic reviews of these studies have reported outcomes after the use of cryotherapy for HCC. Although these studies may provide useful information about complications and longer-term recurrences after cryoablation, they do not provide evidence of the comparative effectiveness of cryotherapy.

In a Cochrane review, Awad et al. (2009) evaluated cryotherapy for HCC, identifying 2 prospective cohort studies and 2 retrospective studies but no RCTs or quasi-RCTs. (14) This review antedates Wang et al. (2015). Only 1 study could be considered for the assessment of benefit. In that study, Adam et al. (2002) stratified results by both the type of hepatic malignancy (primary or secondary) and the intervention group (percutaneous cryotherapy or percutaneous RFA). (15) Sixty-four patients were treated based on the random availability of probes: 31 patients received cryotherapy and 33 received RFA. Of all patients treated, 26 (84%) of 31 who had cryotherapy and 24 (73%) of 33 who had RFA developed a local recurrence, all within 1 year. The distribution of primary cancers was not specified. Among the HCC patients, rates of initial tumor ablation were similar after cryosurgery (65%) or RFA (76%), but local recurrences were more frequent after cryosurgery (38%) than after RFA (17%). Survival at 1 year did not differ by ablative technique (cryosurgery, 66% vs RFA, 61%). The trial did not include controls managed with an established alternative. Cochrane reviewers concluded that there was no evidence to recommend or refute cryotherapy in the treatment of patients with HCC.

Since the 2009 Cochrane review, several studies have reported on a series of patients with HCC treated using cryoablation. Yang et al. (2012) reported on 300 patients treated between 2003 and 2006 with percutaneous argon-helium cryoablation for HCC. (16) Complete tumor ablation occurred in 185 tumors in 135 patients with a mean tumor diameter of 5.6 cm, while 223 tumors in 165 patients with a mean tumor diameter of 7.2 cm were incompletely ablated (p<.001). Serious complications occurred in 19 (6.3%) patients, including liver hemorrhage in 5 patients, cryoshock syndrome in 6 patients, gastric bleeding in 4 patients, liver abscess in 1

patient, and intestinal fistula in 1 patient. Liver failure resulted in the death of 2 patients. Patients with incomplete ablation received additional treatment with transarterial catheter embolization or a multikinase inhibitor (sorafenib). During the median follow-up of 36.7 months (range, 6-63 months), the local tumor recurrence rate was 31%. Larger tumors and tumor location were significantly related to tumor recurrence (p=.029 and 0.037, respectively). The OS rates were 80% at 1 year, 45% at 2 years, and 32% at 3 years.

Rong et al. (2015) reported on longer-term outcomes (median, 30.9 months) after cryoablation in a series of 866 patients with HCC treated at a single center in China. (17) A total of 832 (96.1%) patients were considered to have a complete response after up to 3 cryoablation sessions. During follow-up, 502 (60.2%) patients with an initial complete response had a recurrence (n=99 [11.9%] local, n=396 [44.5%] distant intrahepatic, n=7 [0.85] extrahepatic). Two hundred sixteen subjects died (mortality rate, 25.9%), corresponding to a 5-year OS rate of 59.5%.

In a study not included in the 2009 Cochrane review, Zhou et al. (2009) categorized 124 patients with primary nonresectable HCC into the early, middle, and advanced stage groups using the Barcelona Clinic Liver Cancer staging classification. (18) After argon-helium cryoablation, the serum level of α-fetoprotein was reduced in 76 (82.6%), and 205 (92.3%) of 222 tumor lesions were diminished or unchanged. Median survival time was 31.35 months in the early-stage, 17.4 months in the middle-stage, and 6.8 months in the late-stage groups. As of April 2008, 14 patients had survived and 110 had died. To determine risk factors that predict metastasis and recurrence, Wang et al. (2009) also studied a series of 156 patients with hepatitis B virus-related HCC and tumors smaller than 5 cm in diameter who underwent curative cryoablation. (19) One-, 2-, and 3-year OS rates were 92%, 82%, and 64%, respectively, and 1-, 2-, and 3-year recurrence-free survival rates were 72%, 56%, and 43%, respectively. The multivariate analysis showed that Child-Pugh class and expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in HCC tissues could be used as independent prognostic factors for OS. The expression of vascular endothelial growth factor in HCC tissues and hepatitis B virus basal core promoter variants were independent prognostic factors for recurrence-free survival.

Section Summary: Hepatocellular Carcinoma
A network meta-analysis reported that cryoablation had similar overall survival and progression-free survival compared to RFA; indirect comparisons showed superiority for both overall survival and progression-free survival over percutaneous acetic acid injection but no differences with other treatment groups. Another meta-analysis comparing cryoablation and TACE versus TACE alone found that the combined treatment was superior for OS and tumor progression outcomes. The available RCT comparing cryoablation with RFA demonstrated lower rates of local tumor progression with cryoablation but no differences in survival outcomes between groups. Although this trial provided suggestive evidence that cryoablation is comparable to RFA, trial limitations would suggest findings need to be replicated. Nonrandomized comparative studies have failed to find consistent benefit with cryoablation in outcomes related to tumor recurrence and survival. Additional randomized comparative

evidence is needed to permit conclusions about the effectiveness of cryoablation compared with other locoregional therapies.

Neuroendocrine Cancer Liver Metastases
Neuroendocrine tumors are relatively slow-growing malignancies (mean survival time, 5-10 years) that commonly metastasize to the liver. As with other cancers, the most successful treatment of hepatic metastasis is resection with tumor-free margins, but treatment benefits for a slow-growing tumor must be weighed against the morbidity and mortality of major surgery. (20) The intent of cryosurgery in these cases is to minimize or eliminate symptoms caused by liver metastases while avoiding the complications of open surgery.

Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose
The purpose of CSA in individuals who have unresectable liver metastases from neuroendocrine tumors is to provide a treatment option that is an alternative to or an improvement on existing therapies.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this policy.

Populations
The relevant population of interest is individuals with unresectable liver metastases from neuroendocrine tumors amenable to locoregional therapy.

Interventions
The therapy being considered is CSA.

Comparators
The following therapies are currently being used: RFA, microwave tumor ablation, and locoregional ablation other than RFA.

Outcomes
The general outcomes of interest are disease-free and OS. Other outcomes include recurrence rates, symptom reductions, and treatment-related adverse events. Unlike other liver metastases, neuroendocrine tumors metastatic to the liver may cause systemic symptoms, including palpitations, flushing, and diarrhea, secondary to the release of neuropeptides. Given the nature of neuroendocrine tumors, treatment outcomes can be measured over a 5- to 10-year period.

Study Selection Criteria
Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:
- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs.
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.

- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Systematic Reviews

A Cochrane review by Gurusamy et al. (2009) compared the benefits and harms of liver resection with those of other treatments in patients who had resectable liver metastases from gastro-entero-pancreatic neuroendocrine tumors. (21) Trials comparing liver resection (alone or in combination with RFA or cryoablation) with other interventions (chemotherapy, hormonotherapy, or immunotherapy) and studies comparing liver resection with thermal ablation (RFA or cryoablation) were sought. Cochrane reviewers reported finding that none of the RCTs suitable for review nor any quasi-randomized, cohort, or case-control studies "could inform meaningfully." No analysis was performed, and reviewers referred to only RFA in their discussion, noting that radiofrequency is not suitable for large tumors (i.e., >5-6 cm), and that neuroendocrine liver metastases are frequently larger than that. They concluded that randomized trials comparing surgical resection with RFA in selected patients would be appropriate.

Cohort Studies

Saxena et al. (2012) retrospectively reviewed data on 40 patients treated with cryoablation and surgical resection for hepatic metastases from neuroendocrine cancer. (22) The median period of follow-up was 61 months (range, 1 to 162 months). One death occurred within 30 days of treatment. No other complications were reported. Median survival was 95 months, and the rate of survival was 92%, 73%, 61%, and 40% at 1, 3, 5, and 10 years, respectively.

Chung et al. (2001) reported on outcomes of cryosurgery for hepatic metastases from neuroendocrine cancer. (23) This study used cytoreduction (resection, cryosurgery, RFA, or a combination of the 3) and adjuvant therapy (octreotide, chemotherapy, radiotherapy, interferon-α) in 31 patients with neuroendocrine metastases to the liver and "progressive symptoms refractory to conventional therapy." Following treatment, symptoms were eliminated in 87% of patients; median symptom-free interval was 60 months with octreotide and 16 months with alternatives. Because outcomes were not reported separately for different cytoreductive techniques, it was not possible to compare the benefits of cryosurgery with those of other cytoreductive approaches or octreotide alone.

Section Summary: Neuroendocrine Cancer Liver Metastases

The available evidence for unresectable liver metastases from neuroendocrine tumors amenable to locoregional therapy is very limited. Current evidence does not permit conclusions on whether this technology affects health outcomes.

Liver Metastases From Colorectal Cancer

Although multiple tumor types metastasize to the liver, CRC is particularly likely to metastasize to the liver and has been the focus of the bulk of the literature on cryoablation for non-neuroendocrine tumor liver metastases.

Clinical Context and Therapy Purpose
The purpose of CSA in individuals who have unresectable liver metastases from CRC is to provide a treatment option that is an alternative to or an improvement on existing therapies.

The following PICO was used to select literature to inform this policy.

Populations
The relevant population of interest is individuals with unresectable liver metastases from CRC amenable to locoregional therapy.

Interventions
The therapy being considered is CSA.

Comparators
The following therapies are currently being used: RFA, microwave tumor ablation, and locoregional ablation other than RFA.

Outcomes
The general outcomes of interest are disease-free and OS. Other outcomes include recurrence rates, symptom reductions, and treatment-related adverse events. Estimates for disease-related mortality can range up to 2 years, with subsets of populations surviving 5 to 10 years.

Study Selection Criteria
Methodologically credible studies were selected using the following principles:
- To assess efficacy outcomes, comparative controlled prospective trials were sought, with a preference for RCTs.
- In the absence of such trials, comparative observational studies were sought, with a preference for prospective studies.
- To assess long-term outcomes and adverse events, single-arm studies that capture longer periods of follow-up and/or larger populations were sought.
- Consistent with a 'best available evidence approach,' within each category of study design, studies with larger sample sizes and longer durations were sought.
- Studies with duplicative or overlapping populations were excluded.

Systematic Reviews
A Cochrane review by Al-Asfoor et al. (2008) compared outcomes of resection of CRC liver metastases with no intervention or other treatment modalities, including RFA and cryosurgery. (24) Only RCTs reporting on patients who had curative surgery for adenocarcinoma of the colon or rectum, who had been diagnosed with liver metastases, and who were eligible for liver resection were considered. Only 1 randomized trial by Korpan (1997) was identified, a trial from

the Ukraine that compared surgical resection with cryosurgery in 123 subjects, 82 of whom had liver metastases from primary CRCs and the remainder who had metastases from other primary tumors. (25) Survival outcomes were not provided by the type of cryogenic procedure or primary tumor site. Cochrane reviewers concluded that local ablative therapies were probably useful but that the therapy would need further evaluation in an RCT. A Cochrane review by Bale et al. (2013) examined cryoablation for liver metastases from various sites, primarily colorectal. (26) Only the Korpan (1997) RCT, (25) included in the 2008 Cochrane review, met inclusion criteria. The Korpan (1997) trial was considered to have a high risk of bias, and reviewers found the available evidence was insufficient to determine whether there were any benefits with cryoablation over conventional surgery or no intervention.

A Cochrane review by Gurusamy et al. (2010) compared liver resection (alone or in combination with RFA or cryoablation) with nonsurgical treatments (neoadjuvant chemotherapy, chemotherapy, or RFA) in patients with colorectal liver metastases and hepatic node involvement. (27) No RCTs, quasi-randomized trials, or cohort studies were identified to address this clinical scenario.

Pathak et al. (2011) reported on a systematic review of ablative therapies for CRC liver metastases. (28) They selected 26 nonrandomized studies on cryoablation. Reviewers reported local recurrence rates in the studies ranging from 12% to 39%. Survival rates ranged from 46% to 92% at 1 year, 8% to 60% at 3 years, and 0% to 44% at 5 years. Mean survival rates at 1, 3, and 5 years were 84%, 37%, and 17%, respectively. Major complications ranged from 7% to 66%. Cryoshock was indicated to be of major concern.

Case Series
A few studies have compared cryotherapy with other treatments for liver metastases. Ruers et al. (2007) reported on a consecutive series of 201 CRC patients, without the extrahepatic disease, treated between 1995 and 2004 and who underwent laparotomy for surgical treatment of liver metastases. (29) These patients were prospectively followed for survival and quality of life. During laparotomy, 3 groups were identified: patients in whom radical resection of metastases proved feasible, patients in whom resection was not feasible and received local ablative therapy (with or without resection), and patients in whom resection or local ablation was not feasible for technical reasons and who received systemic chemotherapy. The study reported that patients in the chemotherapy and local ablation groups were comparable for all prognostic variables tested. For the local ablation group, OS rates at 2 and 5 years were 56% and 27%, respectively (median, 31 months; n=45); for the chemotherapy group, 51% and 15%, respectively (median, 26 months; n=39; p=.252). After resection, these rates were 83% and 51%, respectively (median, 61 months; n=117; p<.001). Median disease-free survival (DFS) after local ablation was 9 months. The authors concluded that although OS of local ablation versus chemotherapy was not statistically significant, median DFS of 9 months suggested a beneficial effect of local tumor ablation. However, given the heterogeneity of the groups in this study, it is very difficult to compare outcomes among groups. Additionally, this study used both cryotherapy and RFA for local ablation, and results were reported for the combined group further limiting interpretation of specific results in cryoablation.

Niu et al. (2007) analyzed data collected prospectively for 415 patients who underwent hepatic resection for metastatic CRC with or without cryoablation from 1990 to 2006. (30) A decision about resectability was determined at the time of surgery. Patients who had resections and cryoablation were more likely to have bilobar disease (85% vs 27%, respectively) and to have 6 or more lesions (35% vs 3%, respectively). Additionally, 73% of this combined treatment group received hepatic arterial chemotherapy compared with 32% in the resection-only group. Median follow-up was 25 months (range, 1 to 124 months). The 30-day perioperative mortality rate was 3.1%. For the resection group, the median survival was 34 months, with 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival values rates of 88%, 47%, and 32%, respectively. The median survival for the resection plus cryotherapy group was 29 months, with 1-, 3-, and 5-year survival rates of 84%, 43%, and 24%, respectively (p=.206). The overall recurrence rate was 66% for resection only but 78% for resection plus cryotherapy. Five factors were independently associated with improved survival: the absence of extrahepatic disease at diagnosis, well- or moderately differentiated CRC, lesion size of 4 cm or less, a postoperative carcinoembryonic antigen of 5 ng/mL or less, and absence of liver recurrence. While the recurrence rates between groups did not differ, it is unclear how representative the patients who had resection plus cryotherapy were of the total sample of 415 patients. The comparability of the 2 groups is uncertain, especially given the differential use of hepatic arterial chemotherapy. In this study, a direct comparison was not made with chemotherapy. Finally, the 16-year duration of the study raises concerns about intercurrent changes that could have affected the results.

In a relatively small study, Joosten et al. (2005) reported on 58 patients with unresectable colorectal liver metastases where CSA or RFA was performed on patients ineligible for resection. (31) Median follow-up was 26 and 25 months for CSA and RFA, respectively. One- and 2-year survival rates were 76% and 61% for CSA and 93% and 75% for RFA, respectively. In a lesion-based analysis, the local recurrence rate was 9% after CSA and 6% after RFA. Complication rates were 30% and 11% after CSA and RFA, respectively (p=.052). While the small size of this study makes drawing conclusions difficult, results raise questions about the relative efficacy of both techniques.

A number of series have reported on outcomes for cryoablation for liver metastases from CRC. Summarized here are some of the larger and more recent series. Ng et al. (2012) conducted a retrospective review of 293 patients treated between 1990 and 2009 for colorectal liver metastases with cryoablation with or without surgical resection. (32) Perioperative death occurred in 10 (3%) patients and included liver abscess sepsis in 4 patients, cardiac events unrelated to treatment in 3 patients, and 1 case each of dilated cardiomyopathy, cerebrovascular event, and multiorgan failure. Median follow-up was 28 months (range, 0.1 to 220 months). OS rates were 87%, 41.8%, 24.2%, and 13.3% at 1, 3, 5, and 10 years, respectively.

Seifert et al. (2005) reported on a series of patients with colorectal liver metastases treated from 1996 to 2002. (33) In this series, 168 patients underwent resection, and 55 had CSA (in 25 of these patients, it was combined with resection). Twenty-nine percent (16/55) of the ablation group had prior liver resection compared with only 5% in the resection group. Twenty percent

of both groups had extrahepatic disease at the time of surgery. With a median follow-up of 23 months, median and 5-year survival rates following resection and cryotherapy were comparable, with 29 months and 29 months and 23% and 26%, respectively. However, the median DFS times and 5-year DFS rates following resection were superior at 10 months and 19%, respectively, for resection compared with 6 months and 12%, respectively, for cryotherapy. Overall recurrence was 61% in the resection group and 76% in the cryotherapy group and liver recurrence was 45% and 71%, respectively. Study limitations included the small sample size, limited follow-up, and noncomparability of the groups.

Kornprat et al. (2007) reported on thermoablation combined with resection in the treatment of hepatic metastasis from CRC. (34) In this series, from 1998 to 2003, 665 patients with colorectal metastases underwent hepatic resection. Of these, 39 (5.9%) had additional intraoperative thermoablative procedures (19 RFA, 20 CSA). The overall morbidity rate was 41% (16/39). No RFA-related complications occurred; however, 3 patients developed an abscess at cryoablation sites. The median DFS was 12.3 months (range, 8.4-16.2 months). The local in situ recurrence rate according to the number of ablated tumors was 14% for RFA and 12% for CSA. Tumor size correlated directly with recurrence (p=.02) in RFA-treated lesions.

Xu et al. (2008) reported on a series of 326 patients with nonresectable hepatic colorectal metastases treated with 526 percutaneous cryosurgery procedures. (35) At 3 months posttreatment, carcinoembryonic antigen levels decreased to the normal range in 197 (77.5%) of patients who had elevated markers before cryosurgery. Among 280 patients who had computed tomography follow-up, cryo-treated lesions showed complete response in 41 (14.6%) patients, partial response in 115 (41.1%), stable disease in 68 (24.3%), and disease progression in 56 (20%). During a median follow-up of 32 months (range, 7-61 months), the recurrence rate was 47.2%. The recurrence rate at the cryo-treated site was 6.4% for all cases. During a median follow-up of 36 months, the median survival of all patients was 29 months (range, 3 to 62 months). Overall survival rates were 78%, 62%, 41%, 34%, and 23% at 1, 2, 3, 4, and 5 years, respectively, after treatment. For patients with tumor sizes smaller than 3 cm, tumors in the right lobe of the liver, carcinoembryonic antigen levels less than 100 ng/dL, and post-cryosurgery transcatheter arterial chemoembolization had higher survival rates.

Section Summary: Liver Metastases From Colorectal Cancer
The available RCT comparing surgical resection with cryoablation was judged to be at high risk of bias. Some nonrandomized comparative studies have reported improved survival outcomes for patients managed with cryotherapy compared with those managed with resection alone; however, these studies were subject to bias in the selection of patients for treatments. Additional controlled studies are needed to permit conclusions on the effectiveness of cryoablation compared with other locoregional therapies.

Summary of Evidence
For individuals who have unresectable primary hepatocellular carcinoma (HCC) amenable to locoregional therapy who receive cryosurgical ablation (CSA), the evidence includes 2 meta-analyses, a randomized controlled trial (RCT), several nonrandomized comparative studies, and

multiple noncomparative studies. Relevant outcomes are overall survival (OS), disease-specific survival, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The available RCT comparing cryoablation with radiofrequency ablation (RFA) demonstrated lower rates of local tumor progression with cryoablation but no differences in survival outcomes between groups. Although this trial provided suggestive evidence that cryoablation is comparable with RFA, trial limitations would suggest findings need to be replicated. Nonrandomized comparative studies have failed to find consistent benefit with cryoablation in outcomes related to tumor recurrence and survival. Evidence from 2 meta-analyses suggests equivalent OS and progression-free survival to RFA and superiority for combined transarterial chemoembolization (TACE) plus CSA over TACE alone for OS and tumor progression. Additional randomized comparative evidence is needed to permit conclusions about the effectiveness of cryoablation compared with other locoregional therapies. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have unresectable liver metastases from neuroendocrine tumors amenable to locoregional therapy who receive CSA, the evidence includes a Cochrane review and case series. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, symptoms, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The available evidence base is very limited. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

For individuals who have unresectable liver metastases from colorectal cancer amenable to locoregional therapy who have CSA, the evidence includes an RCT, several nonrandomized comparative and noncomparative studies, and systematic reviews of these studies. Relevant outcomes are OS, disease-specific survival, and treatment-related mortality and morbidity. The available RCT comparing surgical resection with cryoablation was judged as high risk of bias. Some nonrandomized comparative studies have reported improved survival outcomes for patients managed with cryotherapy compared with those managed with resection alone; however, these studies were subject to bias in the selection of patients for treatments. Additional controlled studies are needed to permit conclusions about the effectiveness of cryoablation compared with other locoregional therapies. The evidence is insufficient to determine that the technology results in an improvement in the net health outcome.

Practice Guidelines and Position Statements
National Comprehensive Cancer Network
The National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN) indicates that ablative techniques may be used in the treatment of certain hepatic tumors. The NCCN guidelines on hepatocellular carcinoma (v.3.2024) include cryoablation in a list of ablative techniques, along with radiofrequency ablation (RFA), percutaneous alcohol ablation, and microwave ablation; however, the literature cited in the guidelines reports on only RFA and ethanol ablation. (36) For hepatocellular carcinoma, the NCCN makes the following category 2A recommendation:

"All patients with HCC [hepatocellular carcinoma] should be evaluated for potential curative therapies (resection, transplantation, and for small lesions, ablative strategies). Locoregional

therapy should be considered in patients who are not candidates for surgical curative treatments, or as a part of a strategy to bridge patients for other curative therapies.

Ablation (microwave/radiofrequency, surgical, or percutaneous ethanol injection):
- All tumors should be amenable to ablation such that the tumor and, in the case of thermal ablation, a margin of normal tissue is treated. A margin is not expected following percutaneous ethanol injection.
- Tumors should be in a location accessible for percutaneous/laparoscopic/open approaches for ablation.
- Caution should be exercised when ablating lesions near major vessels, major bile ducts, diaphragm, and other intra-abdominal organs.
- Ablation alone may be curative in treating tumors ≤3 cm. In well-selected patients with small properly located tumors, ablation should be considered as definitive treatment in the context of a multidisciplinary review. Lesions 3 to 5 cm may be treated to prolong survival using arterially directed therapies, or with combination of an arterially directed therapy and ablation as long as tumor location is accessible for ablation.
- Unresectable/inoperable lesions >5 cm should be considered for treatment using arterially directed, systemic therapy, or RT [radiation therapy]."

The NCCN guidelines on biliary tract cancer (v.4.2024) (37) recommend that patients with intrahepatic cholangiocarcinoma should be evaluated for potentially curative therapies such as ablation, arterially directed therapies, and RT. Specific recommendations for ablation include (category 2A recommendation):
- "All tumors should be amenable to complete ablation so that the tumor and a margin of normal tissue up to 1 cm can be treated."
- "For small single tumors <3 cm, whether recurrent or primary, thermal ablation is a reasonable alternative to surgical resection, particularly in patients with high-risk disease."
- "Options for ablation include cryoablation, radiofrequency ablation, microwave ablation, and irreversible electroporation."

The NCCN guidelines on neuroendocrine and adrenal tumors (v.2.2024) address the use of hepatic-directed therapies for patients with unresectable hepatic-predominant progressive metastatic neuroendocrine tumors. (38) These guidelines support consideration of ablative therapies such as RFA or cryoablation if near-complete tumor burden can be achieved (category 2B recommendation).

For ablative therapy, the NCCN makes the following category 2B recommendation:

"Percutaneous thermal ablation, often using microwave energy (radiofrequency and cryoablation are also acceptable), can be considered for oligometastatic liver disease, generally up to four lesions each smaller than 3 cm. Feasibility considerations include safe percutaneous imaging-guided approach to the target lesions, and proximity to vessels, bile ducts, or adjacent non-target structures that may require hydro- or aero-dissection for displacement."

The NCCN guidelines on the treatment of colon cancer with liver metastases (v.5.2024) consider patients with liver oligometastases as candidates for tumor ablation therapy. (39) Ablative techniques include RFA, microwave ablation, cryoablation, percutaneous ethanol injection, and electro-coagulation. Use of surgery, ablation, or the combination "with the goal of less-than-complete resection/ablation of all known sites of disease, is not recommended other than in the scope of a clinical trial" (category 2A recommendations).

Ongoing and Unpublished Clinical Trials
Some currently ongoing and/or unpublished trials that might influence this review are listed in Table 2.

Table 2. Summary of Key Trials

NCT Number	Trial Name	Planned Enrollment	Completion Date
Ongoing			
NCT06530784	Cryoablation Combined With PD-1 Antibody and Bevacizumab for Hepatocellular Carcinoma After Progression of PD-1/L1 Antibody Treatment: a Pilot Clinical Study	36	May 2026
NCT06265350	Cryoablation Combined With Cardonilizumab and Bevacizumab in Hepatocellular Carcinoma With Pulmonary Metastases: A Single-center, Prospective, Randomized Controlled Phase II Study	80	Jan 2027
NCT05897268	Cryoablation Combined With Tislelizumab Plus Lenvatinib in 1L Treatment of Advanced HCC (CASTLE-10) (CASTLE-10)	25	Dec 2025
NCT05622825	Valuation of the Safety and Efficacy of Combination of Cryoablation and Dendric Cell/Cytokine-induced Killers Cells Treatment for Advanced Liver Cancers	15	Dec 2024
Unpublished			
NCT04724226	Cryoablation Combined With Camrelizumab and Apatinib in Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma (C-couple)	34	Aug 2024 (unknown status)
NCT05057845	Cryoablation Combined With Tislelizumab Plus Lenvatinib as Second-line or Later Therapy in Advanced Hepatocellular Carcinoma	25	Sep 2024 (unknown status)
NCT05303038	Cryoablation Combined With Tirelizumab and Bevacizumab in Liver Metastatic TNBC	15	Apr 2024 (unknown status)

	Patients Failed by Multiline Therapy (Castle06(BC))		
NCT05057052	Cryoablation Combined With Sintilimab Plus Regorafenib In Previously Treated Colorectal Cancer Liver Metastasis	25	Sep 2024 (unknown status)

NCT: national clinical trial.

<div style="background:#2e74b5;color:white;padding:4px 8px;font-weight:bold;">Coding</div>

Procedure codes on Medical Policy documents are included **only** as a general reference tool for each policy. **They may not be all-inclusive.**

The presence or absence of procedure, service, supply, or device codes in a Medical Policy document has no relevance for determination of benefit coverage for members or reimbursement for providers. **Only the written coverage position in a Medical Policy should be used for such determinations.**

Benefit coverage determinations based on written Medical Policy coverage positions must include review of the member's benefit contract or Summary Plan Description (SPD) for defined coverage vs. non-coverage, benefit exclusions, and benefit limitations such as dollar or duration caps.

CPT Codes	47371, 47381, 47383, 76940, 77013
HCPCS Codes	None

*Current Procedural Terminology (CPT®) ©2023 American Medical Association: Chicago, IL.

<div style="background:#2e74b5;color:white;padding:4px 8px;font-weight:bold;">References</div>

1. Singh SK, Singh R. Liver cancer incidence and mortality: Disparities based on age, ethnicity, health and nutrition, molecular factors, and geography. Cancer Health Disparities. Mar 2020; 4:e1-e10. PMID 34164612
2. Sohn RL, Carlin AM, Steffes C, et al. The extent of cryosurgery increases the complication rate after hepatic cryoablation. Am Surg. Apr 2003; 69(4):317-322; discussion 322-323. PMID 12716090
3. Kim HI, An J, Han S, et al. Loco-regional therapies competing with radiofrequency ablation in potential indications for hepatocellular carcinoma: a network meta-analysis. Clin Mol Hepatol. Oct 2023; 29(4):1013-1028. PMID 37403319
4. Keshavarz P, Raman SS. Comparison of combined transarterial chemoembolization and ablations in patients with hepatocellular carcinoma: a systematic review and meta-analysis. Abdom Radiol (NY). Mar 2022; 47(3):1009-1023. PMID 34982183
5. Wang C, Wang H, Yang W, et al. Multicenter randomized controlled trial of percutaneous cryoablation versus radiofrequency ablation in hepatocellular carcinoma. Hepatology. May 2015; 61(5):1579-1590. PMID 25284802
6. Wang Y, Li W, Man W, et al. Comparison of Efficacy and Safety of TACE Combined with Microwave Ablation and TACE Combined with Cryoablation in the Treatment of Large Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Comput Intell Neurosci. 2022; 2022:9783113. PMID 35795769

7. Luo J, Dong Z, Xie H, et al. Efficacy and safety of percutaneous cryoablation for elderly patients with small hepatocellular carcinoma: A prospective multicenter study. Liver Int. Apr 2022; 42(4):918-929. PMID 35065003

8. Chen L, Ren Y, Sun T, et al. The efficacy of radiofrequency ablation versus cryoablation in the treatment of single hepatocellular carcinoma: A population-based study. Cancer Med. Jun 2021; 10(11):3715-3725. PMID 33960697

9. Cha SY, Kang TW, Min JH, et al. RF Ablation Versus Cryoablation for Small Perivascular Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Propensity Score Analyses of Mid-Term Outcomes. Cardiovasc Intervent Radiol. Mar 2020; 43(3):434-444. PMID 31844951

10. Ko SE, Lee MW, Rhim H, et al. Comparison of procedure-related complications between percutaneous cryoablation and radiofrequency ablation for treating periductal hepatocellular carcinoma. Int J Hyperthermia. Nov 17 2020; 37(1):1354-1361. PMID 33297809

11. Wei J, Cui W, Fan W, et al. Unresectable Hepatocellular Carcinoma: Transcatheter Arterial Chemoembolization Combined With Microwave Ablation vs. Combined With Cryoablation. Front Oncol. 2020; 10:1285. PMID 32850395

12. Ei S, Hibi T, Tanabe M, et al. Cryoablation provides superior local control of primary hepatocellular carcinomas of 2 cm compared with radiofrequency ablation and microwave coagulation therapy: an underestimated tool in the toolbox. Ann Surg Oncol. Apr 2015; 22(4):1294-1300. PMID 25287439

13. Dunne RM, Shyn PB, Sung JC, et al. Percutaneous treatment of hepatocellular carcinoma in patients with cirrhosis: a comparison of the safety of cryoablation and radiofrequency ablation. Eur J Radiol. Apr 2014; 83(4):632-638. PMID 24529593

14. Awad T, Thorlund K, Gluud C. Cryotherapy for hepatocellular carcinoma. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Oct 07 2009; (4):CD007611. PMID 19821432

15. Adam R, Hagopian EJ, Linhares M, et al. A comparison of percutaneous cryosurgery and percutaneous radiofrequency for unresectable hepatic malignancies. Arch Surg. Dec 2002; 137(12):1332-1339; discussion 1340. PMID 12470093

16. Yang Y, Wang C, Lu Y, et al. Outcomes of ultrasound-guided percutaneous argon-helium cryoablation of hepatocellular carcinoma. J Hepatobiliary Pancreat Sci. Nov 2012; 19(6):674-684. PMID 22187145

17. Rong G, Bai W, Dong Z, et al. Long-term outcomes of percutaneous cryoablation for patients with hepatocellular carcinoma within Milan criteria. PLoS One. 2015; 10(4):e0123065. PMID 25849963

18. Zhou L, Yang YP, Feng YY, et al. Efficacy of argon-helium cryosurgical ablation on primary hepatocellular carcinoma: a pilot clinical study. Ai Zheng. Jan 2009; 28(1):45-48. PMID 19448416

19. Wang C, Lu Y, Chen Y, et al. Prognostic factors and recurrence of hepatitis B-related hepatocellular carcinoma after argon-helium cryoablation: a prospective study. Clin Exp Metastasis. 2009; 26(7):839-848. PMID 19784786

20. Jaeck D, Oussoultzoglou E, Bachellier P, et al. Hepatic metastases of gastroenteropancreatic neuroendocrine tumors: safe hepatic surgery. World J Surg. Jun 2001; 25(6):689-692. PMID 11376398

21. Gurusamy KS, Ramamoorthy R, Sharma D, et al. Liver resection versus other treatments for neuroendocrine tumours in patients with resectable liver metastases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Apr 15 2009; 2009(2):CD007060. PMID 19370671

22. Saxena A, Chua TC, Chu F, et al. Optimizing the surgical effort in patients with advanced neuroendocrine neoplasm hepatic metastases: a critical analysis of 40 patients treated by hepatic resection and cryoablation. Am J Clin Oncol. Oct 2012; 35(5):439-445. PMID 21654315

23. Chung MH, Pisegna J, Spirt M, et al. Hepatic cytoreduction followed by a novel long-acting somatostatin analog: a paradigm for intractable neuroendocrine tumors metastatic to the liver. Surgery. Dec 2001; 130(6):954-962. PMID 11742323

24. Al-Asfoor A, Fedorowicz Z, Lodge M. Resection versus no intervention or other surgical interventions for colorectal cancer liver metastases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Apr 16 2008; (2):CD006039. PMID 18425932

25. Korpan NN. Hepatic cryosurgery for liver metastases. Long-term follow-up. Ann Surg. Feb 1997; 225(2):193-201. PMID 9065296

26. Bala MM, Riemsma RP, Wolff R, et al. Cryotherapy for liver metastases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jun 05 2013; (6):CD009058. PMID 23740609

27. Gurusamy KS, Ramamoorthy R, Imber C, et al. Surgical resection versus non-surgical treatment for hepatic node positive patients with colorectal liver metastases. Cochrane Database Syst Rev. Jan 20 2010; 2010(1):CD006797. PMID 20091607

28. Pathak S, Jones R, Tang JM, et al. Ablative therapies for colorectal liver metastases: a systematic review. Colorectal Dis. Sep 2011; 13(9):e252-e265. PMID 21689362

29. Ruers TJ, Joosten JJ, Wiering B, et al. Comparison between local ablative therapy and chemotherapy for non-resectable colorectal liver metastases: a prospective study. Ann Surg Oncol. Mar 2007; 14(3):1161-1169. PMID 17195903

30. Niu R, Yan TD, Zhu JC, et al. Recurrence and survival outcomes after hepatic resection with or without cryotherapy for liver metastases from colorectal carcinoma. Ann Surg Oncol. Jul 2007; 14(7):2078-2087. PMID 17473951

31. Joosten J, Jager G, Oyen W, et al. Cryosurgery and radiofrequency ablation for unresectable colorectal liver metastases. Eur J Surg Oncol. Dec 2005; 31(10):1152-1159. PMID 16126363

32. Ng KM, Chua TC, Saxena A, et al. Two decades of experience with hepatic cryotherapy for advanced colorectal metastases. Ann Surg Oncol. Apr 2012; 19(4):1276-1283. PMID 21913018

33. Seifert JK, Springer A, Baier P, et al. Liver resection or cryotherapy for colorectal liver metastases: a prospective case control study. Int J Colorectal Dis. Nov 2005; 20(6):507-520. PMID 15973545

34. Kornprat P, Jarnagin WR, DeMatteo RP, et al. Role of intraoperative thermoablation combined with resection in the treatment of hepatic metastasis from colorectal cancer. Arch Surg. Nov 2007; 142(11):1087-1092. PMID 18025338

35. Xu KC, Niu LZ, He WB, et al. Percutaneous cryosurgery for the treatment of hepatic colorectal metastases. World J Gastroenterol. Mar 07 2008; 14(9):1430-1436. PMID 18322961

36. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Hepatocellular Carcinoma. Version 3.2024. Available at <https://www.nccn.org> (accessed November 25, 2024).

37. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Biliary Tract Cancers. Version 4.2024. Available at <https://www.nccn.org> (accessed November 25, 2024).

38. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Neuroendocrine and Adrenal Tumors. Version 2.2024. Available at <https://www.nccn.org> (accessed November 25, 2024).

39. National Comprehensive Cancer Network (NCCN). NCCN Clinical Practice Guidelines in Oncology: Colon Cancer. Version 5.2024. Available at <https://www.nccn.org> (accessed November 25, 2024).

Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS)

The information contained in this section is for informational purposes only. HCSC makes no representation as to the accuracy of this information. It is not to be used for claims adjudication for HCSC Plans.

The Centers for Medicare and Medicaid Services (CMS) does not have a national Medicare coverage position. Coverage may be subject to local carrier discretion.

A national coverage position for Medicare may have been developed since this medical policy document was written. See Medicare's National Coverage at <https://www.cms.hhs.gov>.

Policy History/Revision

Date	Description of Change
01/01/2025	Document updated with literature review. Coverage unchanged. Added/updated the following references: 3, 4, and 36-39.
12/15/2023	Reviewed. No changes.
01/01/2023	Document updated with literature review. Coverage unchanged. Added/updated references 1, 4-9, and 34-36.
02/01/2022	Reviewed. No changes.
08/01/2021	Document updated with literature review. Coverage unchanged. No new references added; some updated and others removed.
01/15/2021	Reviewed. No changes.
02/15/2020	Document updated with literature review. Coverage unchanged. Added references 1-3.
10/15/2017	Reviewed. No changes.
05/01/2016	Document updated with literature review. Coverage unchanged.
05/15/2015	Reviewed. No changes.
07/01/2014	New medical document. Cryosurgical ablation of liver tumors is considered experimental, investigational and/or unproven. (Coverage unchanged; this

	topic was previously addressed on SUR709.029, Radiofrequency Ablation (RFA) of Liver Tumors).